1	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH	
$_2$	United States Attorney Nevada Bar Number 13644	
	NICHOLAS D. DICKINSON	
3	Assistant United States Attorney	
$_4$	United States Attorney's Office 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100	
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
5	Tel: (702) 388-6175	
	nicholas.dickinson@usdoj.gov	
6	TIMOTHY FINLEY DANIEL ZYTNICK	
$_7$	Trial Attorneys	
	U.S. Department of Justice	
8	Consumer Protection Branch	
9	PO Box 386 Washington, DC 20044	
9	Tel: (202) 307-0050, (202) 598-8337	
10	timothy.t.finley@usdoj.gov	
	daniel.e.zytnick@usdoj.gov	
11		a
$_{12}$	hepresenting the Onlied States of Americ	a
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
$_{14}$	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:19-cr-00022-JCM-VCF
16	Plaintiff,	UNITED STATES' MOTION FOR
$_{17}$	vs.	ALTERNATIVE VICTIM NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES
18	EDGAR DEL RIO,	
19	Defendant.	
20		
21	COMES NOW THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and through	
$_{22}$	Nicholas A. Trutanich, United States Attorney, Nicholas D. Dickinson, Assistant	
	Thenoras 11. 11 drainen, Onited States Att	orney, Inchoras D. Diekinson, Assistant
23		

United States Attorney, and United States Department of Justice Trial Attorneys Timothy Finley and Daniel Zytnick, hereby respectfully move this Court pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3771(d)(2), for authorization to employ the victim notification procedures described below, in lieu of those prescribed by section 3771(a), (b) and (c), on the grounds that the number of crime victims in this case makes it impracticable to accord all of the crime victims the rights described in subsection 3771(a).

The Crime Victims' Rights Act ("the Act") provides certain rights to victims in federal criminal proceedings. 18 U.S.C. § 3771. Among the rights provided by the Act are a victim's right to "reasonable, accurate, and timely notice" of public court proceedings, See 18 U.S.C. § 3771(a). The Act requires "[o]fficers and employees of the Department of Justice and other departments and agencies of the United States engaged in the detection, investigation and prosecution of crime make their best efforts to see that crime victims are notified of, and accorded, the rights described in subsection [3771](a)," 18 U.S.C. § 3771(c)(1), and it instructs the Court to "ensure that the crime victim is afforded" those rights. 18 U.S.C. § 3771(b). The Act defines a crime victim as "a person directly and proximately harmed" as a result of the commission of a federal offense. Id. § 3771(e). Importantly, the Act recognizes that for crimes involving numerous victims, the Court has discretion to adopt procedures that will not unduly interfere with the criminal proceedings. Thus, 18 U.S.C. § 3771(d)(2) provides:

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

[i]n a case where the court finds that the number of crime victims makes it impracticable to accord all of the crime victims the rights described in subsection (a), the court shall fashion a reasonable procedure to give effect to this chapter that does not unduly complicate or prolong the proceedings.

The Act places no limitations on the alternative procedures which a Court may fashion other than that the procedures be reasonable to effectuate the Act and that they not unduly complicate or prolong the proceedings. *Id*.

In this case, the defendant is charged with conspiracy to commit mail fraud for engaging in a direct-mail scheme that sent fraudulent prize promotion mailings to hundreds of thousands of consumers across the United States. The mailings misled victims to believe that they would receive a large cash prize, ranging from hundreds of thousands to several million dollars, if they paid a relatively small fee. The victims did not receive large sums of money. The government determined there are tens of thousands of potential victims who paid a delivery fee, generally \$20 or \$25 as directed by the fraudulent mailings.

This number of victims make compliance with the notification requirements outlined in section 3771(a), (b) and (c) impracticable. Neither the government nor the Court has the resources to accord all of the victims in this case the notice required by subsection 3771(a). Therefore, due to the large number of victims in this case, the Government intends to use the Justice Department's website for large cases, http://justice.gov/largecases/, to direct victims to a case-specific website where all required notices will be posted.

CONCLUSION Based on the foregoing, the government requests the Court grant the motion for alternative victim notification procedures. DATED: this ____ day of April, 2019. NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH United States Attorney Nicholas D. Dickinson Assistant United States Attorney Timothy Finley, Trial Attorney Daniel Zytnick, Trial Attorney Consumer Protection Branch

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 23 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: 2:19-cr-00022-JCM-VCF 4 Plaintiff, ORDER AUTHORIZING ALTERNATIVE VICTIM 5 NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES vs. 6 EDGAR DEL RIO. 7 Defendant. 8 9 This matter comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for 10 Alternative Victim Notification Procedures. The Court finds that (1) the number of 11 potential victims in this case makes it impracticable to provide all the rights 12 provided under 18 U.S.C. 3771(a); (2) the "multiple crime victims" provision of the 13 Act applies to this case; and (3) the means of notifying potential victims as set forth 14 constitutes a "reasonable procedure" to give effect to and ensure compliance with 15 the notice provisions of the Act. 16 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3771(d)(2), that the 17 United States is authorized to comply with 18 U.S.C. 3771(a) by posting 18 information relevant to victims in this case on the Justice Department's website for 19 large cases and the U.S. Attorney's Office public website. 20 IT IS SO ORDERED April 25, 2019. 21 un C. Mahan 22 IAMES C. MAHAN

United States District Judge

23